

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

at 1. Specifically, respondent concluded that the facts of the case “support a finding that N.K.N.’s intussusception, more likely than not, was caused in fact by the administration of the rotavirus vaccine.”³ *Id.* at 5. Respondent further agrees that “entitlement to compensation is appropriate under the terms of the Vaccine Act.” *Id.*

In view of respondent’s concession and the evidence before me, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to compensation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey

Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

³ Respondent noted that “Intussusception was added to the Vaccine Table as an injury for the rotavirus vaccine on July 23, 2015. Since the petition in this case was filed on June 19, 2015, prior to the Table amendment taking effect, petitioners are unable to assert a Table intussusception injury claim.” *Id.* at 5 (citations omitted).